REVIEW AND COMPLIANCE FEDERAL  Related DHP# OR 85-00
Project Name RANDOLPH BRS 0147(4) Town RANDOLPH County ORANGE Federal Agency ROT
Property NameProperty Location
Contact Person Com Rackey Phone 2822 Photo File # Slides Filed [ ]
ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES: KNOWN [] POTENTIAL [X]  Property Type(s)  Historic Context(s)  floodplan of 2rd Branch of white R  -5.0. in 1987 based on CAP'S PhI survey
BUILDINGS/STRUCTURES: 1921 Concrete T- beam bridge  Property Type(s)  Historic context(s)  Applicable MPDF
Listed on Survey [ ] Survey # State Register [ ] Listed on NR - individual [ ] NRHD Name
Previous NR review (date) NRHD Map # Eligible Not Eligible
Eligible SR [ ] Staff determination by Date Eligible NR individual [ ] contributing part of district [ ] Not Eligible NR [ ] Determination by: staff [ ] Who Date AC [ ] Date of preliminary review
Description and Significance Info on Reverse []  not an survey  no bldgs empacted not in HD  16-91 call from Roger telebelts - unis is same project  as 0885-002 that we 5.0. on
Project Reviewed by (initial/date) seg 7-18-91
Need Notification of Local Officials [ ] Date Notified Need AC Review [ ] Why Date Scheduled Meeting: Staff Attending Date Site Visit: Staff Attending Date
Documentation Required as Condition [ ] Specify
Applicable Standards

REVIEW AND COMPLIANCE GENERATED INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT #	TION		
*********	*********		
STATE OF VERMONT Division for Historic Preservation Montpelier, Vermont 05602	SURVEY NUMBER:  NEGATIVE FILE NUMBER:  UTM REFERENCES: Zone/Easting/Northing		
HISTORIC SITES AND STRUCTURES SURVEY Individual Structure Survey Form			
COUNTY: TOWN: LOCATION:	U.S.G.S. QUAD. MAP:  PRESENT FORMAL NAME		
COMMON NAME:	ORIGINAL FORMAL NAME:		
	STYLE:		
PROPERTY TYPE: OWNER: ADDRESS:	DATE BUILT:		
RELATED STRUCTURES:			
STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE:  Meets State Register Criteria_ Meets National Register Criteria_ Historic Contexts			
	DED BY: IZATION:		

DATE RECORDED:

RECEIVED JAN 2 1 1991

## AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Eric Gilbertson, Director, Division of Historic Preservation

FROM:

Wilson K. Wheatley, III, P.E., Planning Engineer

By: William E. Sargent, Location & Environmental Engineer West

DATE:

January 17, 1991

Randolph BRS 0147(4) SUBJECT:

> This project has been exempted from review by the VICS, by agreement of the AOT, FHWA, and State Clearinghouse as it is included among the categories of projects likely to be classified as Categorical Exclusions in the AOT Action Plan. Would you kindly advise as to this project's potential for impact on historic and archaeological resources. In order to maintain our project development schedule, receipt of this review is requested within three weeks. Revised plans are attached.

> Project Randolph BRS 0147(4) begins in the Town of Randolph on VT Route 14, 3.352 miles northerly of the Bethel-Randolph Town Line and extends northerly 0.076 miles on VT Route 14.

> Work will consist of replacement of BR 34 and related roadway and channel work.

> The existing structure is Bridge No. 34, a concrete T-beam constructed in 1921 not listed on the Historic Bridge Inventory.

> Through traffic during construction will be maintained. A detour will be required downstream.

If you need more information, please contact us.

Attachments

WKW: WES: RHT: km

Location Files Planning Files

# CONCRETE BRIDGES UNDER REVIEW

CH86-027	Williston-South Burlington BRZ 1445 (12) Bridge # 15, Concrete Slab, Age unknown
CH90-048	Underhill TH 2507 1921 I-Beam with concrete deck
FR91-006	Georgia TH 3716 1934 Rolled Beam with concrete deck
MC90-004	Readsboro-Whitingham RS 0102 (13) 1925 box culvert with solid concrete railing
OR90-013	Orange TH 9028 Concrete Slab with granite abutments, age unknown
OR90-019	Chelsea RS 0169 (7) 1939 Concrete bridge with decorative concrete posts, within NR District
OR91-001	Randolph BRS 0147 (4) 1921 Concrete T-beam
RU91-001	Brandon BRZ 1443(16) 1923 Concrete Deck Truss with cast iron railing
RU91-004	Wells BRZ 1443 (24) 1900 Rolled Beam with concrete deck
WA90-052	East Montpelier FEGC F037-2 (4)S 1924 Concrete Slab
WA91-007	Worcester RS 0241(26)S 1928 Concrete Slab
WA91-010	Worcester BHS 0241 1936 Steel Beam with concrete post and cable railing
WD90-037	Townshend BST 015-1 (17) 1934 Concrete Rail with spindles
WD91-004	Halifax BHF 013-1 (9)S 1940 Steel beam with concrete deck
₩ <b>№</b> 90-048	Royalton BRS 0147 (13) 1927 Concrete T-beam, project involves two of these- Bridge #27, 28
WN87-061	Stockbridge BHF 022-1 (13)S

3-14-91 memo sent to Bill Largent at ADT requesting info on each of thise bridges. photos + summary doc.

DHP N	umber OR 91-001 Map No. 478 DI	HP Init. sy	Date	7/9/91
	Environmental Variable	Proximity	Value	Assigned Score
1)	Distance to Existing or Relict River or Permanent Stream	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	12 8 4	[ 12]
2)	Distance to Pond or Lake	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	12 8 4	
3)	Distance to Intermittent Stream (m/.	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	8 4 2	
4)	Distance to Wetland (wetlands > one acre in size)	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	8 4 2	
5)	Confluence of River/River or River/Brook	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	12 8 4	
6)	Confluence of Intermittent Streams	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	8 4 2	
7)	Falls or Rapids	0-60 m 60-120 m 120-180 m	8 4 2	
8)	Transportation Corridor/ Drainage Link	0-60 m 60-120 m	8	
9)	Head of Draw	0-60 m	8	[ ]
10)	Isolated Spring	0-60 m 60-120 m	8 4	
11)	Major Floodplain/Alluvial Terrace	0-60 m 60-120 m	8	[8]
12)	Lithic Outcrop	0-180 m	20	[ ]
13)	Knoll Top/Ridge Crest/Promontory	0-60 m	8	[ ]
14)	<pre>Kame/Outwash Terrace (valley edge features)</pre>	0-60 m	8	[ ]
15)	Other Major Topographic Break	0-60 m	8	[ ]
16)	Relict Beach or Shore Line	0-60 m	12	[ ]
17)	Caves/Rockshelters	0-60 m	12	[ ]
18)	Excessive Slope (>15%) or Steep Erosional Slope (>20%)		-8	[ ]
19)	Very Poorly Drained Soils		-8	[ ]
20)	Excessively Disturbed		-24	[ ]
		Total	Score	[ 28 ]
0-18	= Archeologically Non-Sensitive	20+ = Arche	eologica	lly Sensitive

D



# STATE OF VERMONT AGENCY OF DEVELOPMENT AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

### DIVISION FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Preserving Vermont's historic, architectural and archeological resources

July 18, 1991

William Sargent
Locations
Agency of Transportation
133 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05602

Re: Randolph BRS 0147(4). AOT.

Dear Mr. Sargent:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced project.

The Division for Historic Preservation has reviewed this undertaking according to the standards set forth in 36 C.F.R. 800, regulations established by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to implement Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Project review consists of identifying the project's potential impacts to historic buildings, structures, historic districts, historic landscapes and settings, and to known or potential archeological resources.

We originally reviewed this project in 1987, and based on the results of a Phase I survey by the Consulting Archeology Program at the University of Vermont, we determined that the project would not effect any historic or archeological resources.

It is our understanding that the project has now changed somewhat in scope, however, the areas of impact are largely the same. Based on the results of CAP's Phase I survey for the earlier project we conclude that the project as currently designed will not impact any archeological resources.

Bridge No. 34, which will be replaced as part of this project, is a concrete T-beam which was built in 1921. This bridge is not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, therefore, we have no further concerns with the bridge.

Office location: 58 East State Street
Mailing address: Pavilion Building

(802) 828-3226 Montpelier, Vermont 05602 Mr. Sargent
Page 2
July 18, 1991

We conclude that the proposed project will not effect any properties of historic, architectural or archeological significance that are listed on or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

Sincerely,

Suzanne C. Jamile

Eric Gilbertson
Director/State Historic Preservation Officer

EG/SCJ

cc: Randolph Planning Commission

FHWA

Two Rivers-Ottauquechee Regional Planning & Development Commission

7-16-91

- old plan- new bridge on new alignment - new plan- new bridge on old alignment with detour along what had been

The piposed new alignment

- peter thomas's 1987 Phase I survey indicated this area not sensitive + area of impact small, new plans do not indicate area of impact will be any larger.

9-4-91 (all from mark Richter - FHWA

- were we aware that old bridge abotiment as part of this project.

Would be removed in sitation to this project.

of Abotiment boilt around 1880, bridge prior to one boilt in 1920s. Ot is laid-up stone. I told him we generally are not concerned with removal of old abotiments unless they seem to be part of a mill limplex or something. Go ahead as planned.

sejamile













# POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL











